

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/509,807 | 04/28/2000 | WILFRIED MODROW | 3245-734PUS | 9810 |
| 75 | 90 10/23/2002 | | | _• |
| THOMAS C PONTANI | | | EXAMINER | |
| COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE | | | TRAN, LEN | |
| 551 FIFTH AVI | ENUE | , | , | |
| SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY | IV 10176 | / | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MEW TORK, N | 11 101/0 | | 1725 | l-a |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002 | [] |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | AS-17- | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| • | | 09/509,807 | MODROW ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examin r | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Len Tran | 1725 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover si | heet with the correspondence address | | | |
| | Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re | PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Heriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply beeind for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu iill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be | r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S | September 2002 . | | | | |
| · _ | This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi | is action is non-fina | ı. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositio | on of Claims | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>5 and 8</u> is/are pending in the applicat | | | | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav | vn from considerati | on. | | | |
| 5) 🗌 (| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>5 and 8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| ,— | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ T | he specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)[] T | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep | oted or b) Objected | to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)∐ T | he proposed drawing correction filed on | | • | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)[] T | he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| • | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| : | Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been receive | ed in Application No | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(| • | م ا ا | stoniew Summan (PTO 442) Paner No(a) | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5) 🔲 N | nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/509,807

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simsek "Dynamic Simulation of Dual-Line Continuous strip Processing Operations" in view of Chun et al (US 5,509,460).

Simsek discloses a method for determining and controlling the material flow of continuous cast slabs in a continuous casting installation by monitoring and optimizing the

Application/Control Number: 09/509,807

Art Unit: 1725

temperature on the transport path of the continuous cast slabs between the continuous casting installation and a rolling mill (page 46, 2nd paragraph through page 47, 1st paragraph and 5th paragraph) comprising the method of determining an amount of heat and a temperature profile of the continuous slab by calculating the convective mixing of the amount of heat contained in the continuous cast slab and the time dependent heat loss from the inhomogenously cooling of the continuous cast slab, wherein the step of calculating comprises using a mathematical physical model, finite element numerical techniques (page 47, 5th paragraph).

Simsek fails to disclose the steps of: a) determining the liquid phase and physical parameters, such as density of the slab at the mold exit, controlling the material flow in the continuous casting installation via a slab monitoring system and using the amount of heat and the temperature profile determined in step b. as an input to the slab-monitoring system. b) Step c. comprises using a surface temperature of the continuous cast strip determined in step a. as an input to the slab monitoring system. c) Step c. further comprises automatically controlling the material flow via the slab monitoring system based on the amount of heat and the temperature profile determined in step b. and the surface temperature of the continuous cast slab. d) measuring the surface temperature of the continuous cast slab *over time*.

However, Chun et al discloses a method of continuous casting and detecting a temperature of the liquid phase of the continuous slab and physical parameters, density, of the slab (col 2, lines 1-24 and col 5, lines 1-10), and controlling the material flow in the continuous casting installation via a slab-monitoring system (400) of the continuous casting installation (fig. 1). Chun et al shows, wherein in step a. comprises determining a surface temperature of the slab,

Application/Control Number: 09/509,807

Art Unit: 1725

and step c. comprises using a surface temperature of the continuous cast slab determined in step

a. as an input to the slab monitoring system, and then step c. further comprises automatically

controlling the material flow via the slab monitoring system (figure 1, col 5, lines 21-50).

Chun et al teaches the following differences for the purpose of detecting spatial profile of

the liquid metal/solid metal interface since it is important for optimizing magnetic stirring and

soft reduction techniques employed to minimize phase segregation in high alloy steel casting and

in addition having slab monitoring system in order to maximize productivity and minimize initial

and operating costs.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

applicant's invention was made to provide Chun et al's method of determining the liquid and

physical parameters of the slab and incorporating Chun et al's slab monitoring system as an

automatic controller in Simsek in order to maximize productivity and minimize initial and

operating costs.

Furthermore, Chun et al implicitly disclose that the surface temperature is measured over

time, since the slab is being continuously casted and wherein the slab should be measured over

time in order to control the formation of the strand. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to measure surface

temperature over time, since the metal is continuously casted.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/509,807

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 and 8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 1. in page 8, last paragraph, applicant argues that Chun et al do not measure the surface temperature over time and that Chun et al only measure one time at the casting exit. Examiner respectfully disagrees since Chun et al implicitly disclose that the *surface temperature is measured over time, since the slab is being continuously casted* and wherein the slab should be measured over time in order to control the formation of the strand. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to measure surface temperature over time, since the metal is continuously casted and that allows the operator to control the formation of the strand.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/509,807 Page 6

Art Unit: 1725

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (703)605-1175. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3602 for regular

communications and (703)305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran

Examiner

Art Unit 1725

LT

October 18, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER